# Modular Onboarding for New Faculty Project Detail

What is the current status of your project?

In-progress

Please indicate the original project start date, original project end date, and anticipated completion date if project is not completed. Please list dates on separate lines.

• Start Date: April 2016 Strategy Forum

Posted Date: Sept. 16, 2016Original End Date: Nov. 1, 2017

• Anticipated Completion Date: Dec. 15, 2017, to put in place mechanisms to ensure faculty are completing modules, to complete all surveys, to establish an owner of the process, and to make improvements following survey.

Briefly describe the current status of the project. Explain how this project relates to any strategic initiatives or challenges described in the institution's most recent or soon-to-be submitted systems portfolio, if applicable.

The Project is moving steadily toward completion, as can be seen in the project strategic plan attached below. The onboarding modules and Blackboard site are being given final review so the modules can be rolled out for adjuncts and full-time faculty hired for the 2017-2018 academic year.

The Project addresses one of the Strategic Priorities in VU's new strategic plan, which the Board approved in February: *Cultivate Internal and External Resources*, with the specific focus item: *Enhance onboarding of new faculty and staff*.

In addition, as noted in VU's recently submitted AQIP Portfolio, this project is also an effort to address Campus Quality Survey data concerning the need for better communication and improved faculty onboarding/orientation. VU used a professional development session to discuss four concerning issues revealed by the Campus Quality Survey. Participants grouped at various tables commonly agreed that adjunct faculty and staff need a stronger onboarding process. This need has grown greater in recent years due to a large number of faculty and staff retirements (55 faculty and 74 staff retired in five years, from 2012 through 2016). VU has begun to address the faculty onboarding issue with this and other projects, and the institution plans to move to the staff onboarding issue, building on the work completed for this project.

### List the project goals as stated in the original project declaration along with the metrics/measures for assessing the progress for each goal.

The following goals are taken from the original project:

The principle goal of this project will be to improve the preparation of new full-time and adjunct faculty. A baseline survey of adjuncts will be used next year to check faculty perception of their preparation; improved numbers of faculty indicating they feel prepared will indicate success. In more specific terms, VU surveyed adjuncts regarding their onboarding experience and how well prepared they felt to use various required systems and tools such as Blackboard, the Banner grading system, and VU's early warning system. 75% of respondents felt some level of prepared and 25% felt unprepared. VU hopes to increase the number of new faculty feeling some level of prepared to between 85 and 90%.

More specific action steps and expected outcomes were listed in the following strategic plan; below, the plan is updated to include the status of action steps.

### Timeline for Modularized New Faculty Onboarding, AQIP Action Project

| Actions      | Start Date | Completion  | Responsible    | <b>Expected Outcome</b>     | Status            |
|--------------|------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
|              |            | Date        | Persons        |                             |                   |
| Survey       | April 15,  | May 30,     | Provost, Asst. | Survey results that will    | Complete May,     |
| Adjuncts to  | 2016       | 2016        | Provost, IR    | provide better              | 2016; led to      |
| Determine    |            |             | Director       | understanding of adjunct    | identification of |
| Needs        |            |             |                | faculty needs               | 5 pilot modules   |
| Develop 5    | July 26,   | August 18,  | Project Team,  | 5 modules complete;         | Completed and     |
| Onboarding   | 2016       | 2016        | CTL Director,  | survey of new faculty will  | used by           |
| Modules for  |            |             | Content        | reveal positive response to | adjuncts and      |
| Opening of   |            |             | Experts        | modules; feel competent to  | new faculty       |
| Fall 2016    |            |             |                | complete tasks covered      |                   |
| Semester     |            |             |                |                             |                   |
| Identify     | August 4,  | August 18,  | Project Team   | Supplement to modules       | Completed in      |
| Existing or  | 2016       | 2016        |                | that new faculty will find  | Aug. 2017         |
| Develop New  |            |             |                | useful for completing       |                   |
| Manual for   |            |             |                | faculty tasks during first  |                   |
| New Faculty  |            |             |                | semester                    |                   |
| Identify and | August 4,  | May 11,     | Project Team,  | Site developed, with        | Completed: (1)    |
| Develop Site | 2016       | 2017        | Faculty        | ability to track            | Blackboard Site   |
| for New      |            |             | Reviewers      | access: Survey will reveal  | for Users, (2)    |
| Modules and  |            |             |                | new faculty find new        | Pilot survey      |
| Other        |            |             |                | onboarding site helps them  | complete          |
| Onboarding   |            |             |                | complete tasks              |                   |
| Materials    |            |             |                |                             |                   |
| Review       | August 4,  | October 21, | Project Team   | Reports on other            | Completed and     |
| Onboarding   | 2016       | 2016        |                | onboarding modules at       | all modules       |
| Modules at   |            |             |                | other institutions          | identified        |

# List the project goals as stated in the original project declaration along with the metrics/measures for assessing the progress for each goal.

|   |                     | 8 1 8               | 0                                   |  |   |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Other<br>Institutions   |                     |                     |                                     |  |   |
| Survey Deans<br>and<br>Department<br>Chairs for<br>Module<br>Content  | October 5,<br>2016  | October 28,<br>2016 | Deans,                              | Completed survey that will lead to greater Institutional ownership of the onboarding project and modules and more comprehensive set of modules | Completed in<br>January 2017;<br>suggestions<br>determined<br>final 3 modules |
| Survey of Pilot<br>Module Users   | October 5,<br>2016  | October 28,<br>2016 | IR Director                         | Completed survey suggesting faculty using the modules respond positively to content, length, quality, etc.                                     | Completed in January 2017   |
| Identify Topics<br>of All Modules<br>To Be<br>Developed   | August 4,<br>2016   | October 21,<br>2016 | •                                   |  | Completed in March 2017   |
| Share Modules<br>Topics with<br>Deans, Dept.<br>Chairs, Site<br>Coordinators,<br>other admin.<br>for Final<br>Comment | October 24,<br>2016 | November 11, 2016   | Deans, Department Chairs, Site      | finalize list of modules and   | Survey not<br>completed, but<br>earlier survey<br>gave needed<br>information  |
| Identify Content Experts and Assign Responsibility for Completing Modules   | November 18, 2016   | December 6, 2016    | Project Team                        | All modules will have lead<br>person; greater<br>Institutional ownership of<br>onboarding process  | Completed in<br>April 2017  |
| Complete<br>Modules   | December 10, 2016   | March 30,<br>2017   | Content<br>Experts, CTL<br>Director | All modules will be completed and located on site. Greater Institutional ownership.  | In Process  |
| Review<br>Modules   | March 30,<br>2017   | May 4,<br>2017      | Deans,                              | Improvement feedback that will lead to module and onboarding site.   | In Process  |

# List the project goals as stated in the original project declaration along with the metrics/measures for assessing the progress for each goal.

|                |              |            | _              |                           |            |
|----------------|--------------|------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Identify       | May 11,      | June 1,    |                | Deans, Chairs, Site       | In Process |
| Expectations   | 2017         | 2017       | Content        | Coordinators,             |            |
| for Completing |              |            | Experts        | Administrators will       |            |
| Modules,       |              |            |                | confirm site is complete. |            |
| Evidence of    |              |            |                | Greater Institutional     |            |
| Completion,    |              |            |                | ownership                 |            |
| Assessment of  |              |            |                | -                         |            |
| Users          |              |            |                |                           |            |
| Understanding  |              |            |                |                           |            |
| Finalize and   | June 1, 2017 | July       | Project Team,  | Modules complete and site | July       |
| Deploy         | ,            | 1, 2017    |                | ready for use             | Deployment |
| Modules        |              | ,          | Experts, CTL   |                           | expected   |
|                |              |            | Director       |                           | r          |
| Assess New     | September    | October 1, |                | Review of users access    |            |
| Faculty Users  | 15, 2017     | 2017       | Director of he | will show faculty have    |            |
| on Vincennes,  | 13, 2017     | 2017       |                | completed all modules and |            |
| Other Sites    |              |            |                | will show good            |            |
| Other Sites    |              |            |                | understanding of module   |            |
|                |              |            |                | content; survey will      |            |
|                |              |            |                | confirm site value        |            |
| <b>5</b>       | 0 1 15       | NT 1       | 1              | <u> </u>                  |            |
| Evaluate       | October 15,  | November   |                | Future Faculty users will |            |
| Results and    | 2017         | 1, 2017    |                | complete and show good    |            |
| Determine      |              |            | _              | understanding at higher   |            |
| Improvements   |              |            | ,              | rates than baseline data  |            |
| Needed         |              |            | Director       |                           |            |
| Onboarding     | November     | On-going   |                | Site will have on-going   |            |
| Site Owner     | 1, 2017      |            | -              | ownership to review and   |            |
| Identified     |              |            |                | maintain the site; site   |            |
|                |              |            | for oversight  | owner's supervisor will   |            |
|                |              |            |                | evaluate owner's          |            |
|                |              |            |                | maintenance               |            |
| *              |              |            |                |                           |            |

Last modified: 7.21.17

Describe what has been accomplished with this project over the past year, specifically referring to quantifiable results that show progress. You may need to include a discussion clarifying how the original goals and anticipated outcomes may have shifted during the year.

VU is making satisfactory progress on this project. Three feedback opportunities have been provided to faculty and staff. The first survey was sent to the adjuncts regarding their experience as an adjunct working for VU. Next, the deans and program chairs were given an opportunity to give feedback on the initial modules. Finally, VU asked for feedback from the new faculty and adjuncts who worked through the first five modules. All three surveys are linked below--see "Project 4 - Modularized Onboarding for New Faculty."

The initial survey of adjunct faculty (titled "Onboarding Survey Report May 2016") indicated that most adjuncts felt good about their initial experiences with VU--their welcome, their engagement with their supervisor, and the materials and tools offered to do their job. However, the numbers of faculty responding that they felt "unprepared" for teaching the content reflected in their common course outlines (15% of adjuncts with an opinion) and for handling the classroom management tools such as Blackboard, TAPS, and attendance and teaching policies (25% of adjuncts with an opinion) were troubling and helped VU understand CQI data that suggested onboarding could be improved. VU is addressing the first issue (comfort with course content) in other projects, including its work on the "Assessing General Education in the Early Colleges" Action Project and the effort to build a University Common Core assignment catalog. The second issue, which is focused on classroom management tools and policies, is addressed in this project. Modules cover topics such as Blackboard usage, submitting grades via Banner, TAPS (VU's early warning system), FERPA, VU's Lotus Notes email system, Human Resources issues, the VU culture, classroom management, and safety.

To check the value of the initial five modules and to determine what additional modules were needed, VU surveyed department chairs and college deans after they reviewed the modules. The summary of survey results (see "Onboarding Pilot Module Feedback from Dept. Chairs and Deans" linked below) shows that all respondents who reviewed the modules perceived them to be a positive step for VU, and they were supportive of all the modules. Their comments helped VU edit the modules and their suggestions for new modules led to the development of the classroom management, safety, and HR/VU culture modules.

The third survey used to support this project, linked below as "Onboarding User Survey Results," shows that 100% of the faculty users found the content of the modules relevant to their work, and 90% of those surveyed were either very or somewhat satisfied with the content. 95% of the respondents found the initial five modules easy to navigate. Based on the numbers, VU believes the institution is achieving its goal of better communication and preparation of new faculty, and VU assumes that its next follow-up survey (December 2017) will show new faculty will feel better prepared to handle their classroom responsibilities. As an example of the feedback VU has received from adjuncts, the following recent comment expresses a familiar theme that faculty find the modules helpful and want continued access to the site for handy reference: "I have enjoyed the resources available through this onboarding course and would like to know for how long I will have access to this course. If access is limited, I would like to be given notice so that I may retrieve and retain as much information as possible personally. If I will always have access to this course, I know

Describe what has been accomplished with this project over the past year, specifically referring to quantifiable results that show progress. You may need to include a discussion clarifying how the original goals and anticipated outcomes may have shifted during the year.

I would enjoy referencing it from time to time throughout my employment." Multiple chairs and deans have asked if the materials would be made available for continuing full-time faculty as well; they too see the site as a reference tool. New faculty will have continued access and VU plans to make the site accessible to all faculty.

#### Project 4 - Modularized Onboarding for New Faculty

Describe how various members of the learning community have participated in this action project. Show the breadth of involvement by individuals and groups over the project's duration, particularly during the past year.

This project has engaged a broad range of members of the learning community. The project has been chaired by the Provost and the responsible committee includes faculty, the Director of the Center for Teaching and Learning, the Registrar, a college Dean, the Assistant VP for Lifelong Learning (distance ed.), a Jasper Campus staff member, the HR director, and the Asst. Provost for Curriculum and Instruction. Adjunct faculty and some new full-time faculty participated in the user survey, and department chairs and deans have offered feedback on the initial modules. Both full-time and adjunct faculty, department chairs, and deans will offer additional feedback on the full set of modules when they are released this coming academic year.

Describe the effect that this project has had on the institution, students, and others in the learning community. What has the institution learned that can be identified as a good practice to use in other aspects of its quality work or from which other institutions might benefit?

Inspired, in part, by Campus Quality Survey data that suggested a need for better communication and onboarding, this project helped to address a significant change in the VU community. Due to a combination of circumstances, including major changes in the Indiana State Retirement Fund, VU is finding ways to deal with an unusually large number of faculty and staff retirements. In the last five years, 74 staff and 55 faculty have retired. These 129 retirees represent a total of 4,102.4 years of service for an average of 31.8 years of VU service per retiree. In the last five years, VU has added many new full-time and adjunct faculty; as a result, processes for preparing new faculty (and staff) have taken on a new urgency. The use of greater numbers of adjunct faculty to teach on VU's campus and at its dual credit and military locations has increased the need for greater access to preparation for faculty, preparation needed to help them feel more confident about their duties on day one. This project reflects VU's recognition of how the institution needs to adapt to its new staffing circumstances and develop better communication and training practices to meet those needs. As a side note, VU is also working on modules for staff, and HR has recently completed an onboarding module for all employees.

## Describe the anticipated challenges that may be encountered in successfully completing the project or for institutionalizing the learning from the project's goals.

The greatest challenge for completing this project have to do with figuring out how to ensure all new faculty, especially adjuncts, participate and complete the modules. While VU has evidence that faculty find the content very useful, some department chairs question whether VU can realistically expect new faculty to complete the modules. The question has been raised as to what to do if faculty do not complete the modules. VU's initial plan will be to track faculty and see who needs to be sent reminders to complete. Blackboard is being used to distribute the modules and the system allows for tracking. VU's hope is that if the material is perceived to be as useful as early reviewers suggest, this might not be a real issue, but some Onboarding Committee members assume that all new faculty should be mandated to complete the modules. Since mandates usually imply some action taken against those who don't participate (or, as a result, VU can expect others to follow suit and not complete), some approach has to be determined. Any suggestions about how to deal with the situation would be appreciated.

In light of the project goals, current circumstances, institutional learning from this project, and anticipated barriers to success, list the next steps to be taken over the course of the next 12 - 24 months in order to complete or institutionalize the results of this action project. Provide a timeline for completing each next step.

The steps to complete are listed in the strategic plan for the project given above. All modules need to be finalized; that step should be completed by August 10th, rather than the date in the strategic plan. A review revealed one more essential module to add--assessment responsibilities. The next steps will be rolling out the modules and communicating with adjuncts and new full-time faculty that we want them to work through the modules. The assignment of the program owner and final assessments and improvements should be completed by Dec. 15th, or in a worst-case scenario, by mid-January, 2018.

Provide any additional information, inquiries, or concerns that the institution wishes reviewers to understand regarding this Action Project. Enter N/A if not applicable.

N/A

### **Update Questions**

I certify that this project is ready for review.

I agree.

#### Tags:

- Valuing Employees
- Planning and Leading